

- Andriessen, J. & Schwarz, B. (2009). Argumentative design. In: N. Muller-Mirza & A.N. Perret-Clermont (Eds), *Argumentation and education*, 145–174. Springer.
- Awofala, A.O. & Lawal, R.F. (2022). The relationship between critical thinking skills and quantitative reasoning among junior secondary school students in Nigeria. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika (Kudus)*, 5(1), 1–16.
- Cardetti, F. & LeMay, S. (2019). Argumentation: Building students' capacity for reasoning essential to learning mathematics and sciences. *PRIMUS: Problems, Resources, and Issues in Mathematics Undergraduate Studies*, 29(8), 775–798. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2018.1482581>
- Cole-Hamilton, D. (2020). The role of chemists and chemical engineers in a sustainable world. *Chemistry—A European Journal*, 26(9), 1894–1899.
- Dawson, V. & Carson, K. (2017). Using climate change scenarios to assess high school students' argumentation skills. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 35(1), 1–16.
- Dawson, V.M. & Venville, G. (2010). Teaching strategies for developing students' argumentation skills about socio-scientific issues in high school genetics. *Research in Science Education*, 40, 133–148.
- Duschl, R.A. & Osborne, J. (2002). Supporting and promoting argumentation discourse in science education. *Studies in Science Education*, 38, 39–72.
- El Soufi, N. & See, B.H. (2019). Does explicit teaching of critical thinking improve critical thinking skills of English language learners in higher education? A critical review of causal evidence. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 60, 140–162.
- Ennis, R.H. (1985). A Logical Basis for Measuring Critical Thinking Skills. *Educational Leadership*, 43(2), 44–48.
- Jafari, M. & Meisert, A. (2021). Activating students' argumentative resources on socioscientific issues by indirectly instructed reasoning and negotiation processes. *Research in Science Education*, 51, 913–934.
- Jayaraman, T. (2015). The Paris agreement on climate change: Background, analysis, and implications. *Review of Agrarian Studies*, 5(2), 42–59.
- Jiménez-Aleixandre, M.P., Rodríguez, A.B. & Duschl, R.A. (2000). 'Doing the lesson' or 'doing science': Argument in high school genetics. *Science Education*, 84, 757–792.

- Karpudewan, M. & Roth, W.M. (2018). Changes in primary students' informal reasoning during an environment-related curriculum on socioscientific issues. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 16, 401–419.
- Li, X., Wang, W. & Li, Y. (2022). Systematically reviewing the potential of scientific argumentation to promote multidimensional conceptual change in science education. *International Journal of Science Education*, 44(7), 1165–1185.
- Mahaffy, P.G., Ho, F.M., Haack, J.A. & Brush, E.J. (2019). Can chemistry be a central science without systems thinking? *Journal of Chemical Education*, 96(12), 2679–2681.
- Ministry of Education. (2020, January). The graduates image skills. <https://meyda.education.gov.il/files/Curriculum/Elementary-school/skills.pdf> (in Hebrew).
- OECD. (2018, April, 5). The future of education and skills Education 2030. OECD.
- OECD. (2022). PISA 2022 mathematics framework. OECD.
- Osborne, J.F. (2010). Arguing to learn in science: The role of collaborative, critical discourse. *Science*, 328, 463–466.
- Paul, R.W. (1992). Teaching critical reasoning in the strong sense: Getting behind worldviews. *Critical reasoning in contemporary culture*, 135-156.
- Paul, R. & Elder, L. (2006). Critical thinking: The nature of critical and creative thought. *Journal of Developmental Education*, 30(2), 34.
- Rap, S., Blonder, R., Sindiani-Bsoul, A. & Rosenfeld, S. (2022). Curriculum development for student agency on sustainability issues: An exploratory study. *Frontiers in Education*, 7, 1–17.
- Rap, S., Geller, S., Katchevich, D., Gbarin, H. and Blonder, R. (2023) “Chemistry, climate and the skills in between”: mapping cognitive skills in an innovative program designed to empower future citizens to address global challenges. *Chemistry Teacher International*, 5(2), 143-154. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cti-2023-0015>
- Romero Ariza, M., Quesada Armenteros, A. & Estepa Castro, A. (2021). Promoting critical thinking through mathematics and science teacher education: The case of argumentation and graphs interpretation about climate change. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2021.1961736>.

Sandoval, W.A. & Millwood, K.A. (2005). The quality of students` use of evidence in written scientific explanations. *Cognition and Instruction*, 23, 23–55.

Shuai, Z., Zhang, X. & Shao, J. (2014). Chemical sciences: Contributions to building a sustainable society and sharing of international responsibilities. In: H. N. Cheng, S. Shah & M. L. Wu (Eds.), *Vision 2025: How to succeed in the global chemistry enterprise* (pp. 101–139). American Chemical Society.

Unites Nations. (n.d.). Education is key to addressing climate change. Climate action.

<https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/climate-solutions/education-key-addressing-climate-change>.